

OCT 10 2001

552387

0123

11 MR. WRENN: You guys have a viewgraph, per
12 chance?

13 MODERATOR BROWN: I think they put it away.
14 I'm sorry. It was here. I'm sorry, they disconnected
15 it.

16 MR. WRENN: Hi, ladies and gentlemen. I
17 won't take too much of your time. I've got some
18 written comments, but for those of you who were at the
19 Las Vegas meeting, you might remember me. I remember
20 some of you. And I told people that I'd been a
21 university professor dealing with radioactivity,
22 radiation, and the biological effects of radiation most
23 of my life. And one of the things I did was I was on a
24 committee of the American Physical Society, which in
25 the mid-1970s looked at the question of disposal of

0124

1 high-level radioactive waste, and we had about a third
2 of a million dollars from the National Science
3 Foundation. We flew in every expert in the world that
4 dealt with radioactive wastes to talk to them.

5 We made some recommendations, which are
6 published in the American Physical Society's Reviews of

552387

7 Modern Physics, a big fat journal. Unfortunately, I
8 don't have a copy. There is one in the University of
9 Nevada Las Vegas library in the basement, which you can
10 get out. And I have the reference to it is in my
11 written remarks.

12 But I was particularly interested in the
13 question of the radioactive strontium and cesium 137,
14 which are the most dangerous fission products in
15 nuclear waste, and constitute the highest radioactivity
16 inventory in the proposed repository. And our
17 committee made a recommendation which was that any
18 repository chosen should be sufficiently isolated
19 geologically that the geological barriers would provide
20 enough time between the time when radioactivity's
21 starting to move from the repository to the nearest
22 receptors, which would probably be here in the valley,
23 and I looked at -- I read the DOE report with great
24 interest, and I took one of our graphs from the
25 American Physical Society report, and what we plotted
0125

1 was hazard index, the amount of water you had to dilute
2 the waste with to get down to drinking water limits, a
3 part 20 water limits. That's the Nuclear Regulatory

552387

4 Commission's regulatory numbers.

5 And you can't see this, but what happens is,
6 for the first thousand years or so, the hazard is
7 dominated by active strontium, cesium 137. They're
8 both biologically active radionuclides, and I plotted
9 on this the time, the minimum hydrological
10 transportation from the repository to the Amargosa
11 Valley. I took no credit for any engineered safeguards
12 whatsoever. I said, let's assume they all fail and the
13 only thing that impedes us is the geology, which was
14 the recommendation of our committee to do the analysis
15 this way.

16 I was very pleased to see that in fact the,
17 according to the DOE report, the hydrogeology alone
18 provides sufficient time for retardation, that
19 basically all the strontium 90 and cesium 137 decay,
20 radioactively decay and become innocuous. That's nice,
21 and the time I took was a little over about 1300 years.
22 They did a very careful analysis of the time to go from
23 the, from the unsaturated zone where the waste will
24 repose initially, down through that into the saturated
25 zone and then lateral transport. But it was reassuring

0126

552387

1 to see that the radioactive strontium and cesium, which
2 decayed, good rule of thumb is 90 percent of the
3 radioactivity disappears every century. So I actually
4 concluded that the amount of decay would be 10 to the
5 24th over a couple of millennia. And this is certainly
6 enough to reduce the activity to trivial levels. I was
7 very happy to see that.

8 Since our committee had taken, we took about,
9 we had a dozen of us, all special -- all physicists who
10 had specialized in ancillary field, my radiobiology,
11 and we had several geologists, physicists who had
12 become geologists on the committee. We had Chairman of
13 Nuclear Engineering Department at Berkeley. We had his
14 brother, who is a chemical engineer with DuPont who
15 designed reprocessing plants.

16 MODERATOR BROWN: We're trying to keep our
17 comments to about five minutes.

18 MR. WRENN: I'm just about finished.

19 MODERATOR BROWN: That's fine, okay.

20 MR. WRENN: That was the major point. The
21 other one is there's some residual activity left over,
22 and this is not nearly as hazardous as the shorter

552387

23 lives of cesium and strontium, but I was interested to
24 see that this will eventually go into the Death Valley
25 Aquifer, which is a hydrological deadend, and will not
0127

1 go to the Colorado River. Which was a question of one
2 of the ladies at the Las Vegas meeting. And basically
3 there's no flowing water out of the Death Valley
4 Aquifer, either on the surface or underneath. It all
5 evaporates through plants and through the surface.
6 It's the only way water can leave. And it can't carry
7 radioactivity with it under those conditions.

8 So, my views might not be very popular with
9 some people here, but I think that the repository looks
10 like it's safe. And I, I want to add that Senator
11 Anderson's remarks he made before the Las Vegas
12 meeting, in which he said let's just take the plutonium
13 out of this stuff, reprocess it and make it back into
14 fuel for reactors and burn it and make it into
15 electricity, now the argument against that is, once you
16 reprocess it, you make it available not only to, for
17 fuel, but for people to steal, and we want to have
18 safeguards against this. Our committee said the best
19 safeguard is having radioactive fuel, so my suggestion

552387

20 is to build a nuclear power plant, and solve Nevada's
21 electricity problem at the same time, and I'm sure that
22 our senators are sufficiently innovative to do what's
23 required to cause the political and economic hurdles to
24 be lowered to a level where they could be jumped.

25 MODERATOR BROWN: Okay.

0128

1 MR. WRENN: Well, that's it in a nutshell.

2 MODERATOR BROWN: Thanks, and if you have a
3 copy of your statement --

4 MR. WRENN: I put one in the box back there.

5 MODERATOR BROWN: Fine.

6 MR. WRENN: I have a total of five, that
7 leaves me four more. So --

8 MODERATOR BROWN: That's fine. The one back
9 there will be --

10 MR. WRENN: If anybody is particularly
11 interested to see what I wrote up, the technical stuff,
12 I've got a few, and I'm happy to hand what I have out.

13 MODERATOR BROWN: Okay. Great. Thanks very
14 much.

15 MR. WRENN: Sorry to keep you all. Thanks.
16 Takes a lot of guts to keep a group that's been sitting

552387

17 around all day for an extra 10 minutes.

18 MODERATOR BROWN: We'll see if there's

19 anybody else who's brave enough to try another five

20 minutes? Anybody else with comments at this time?

21 MR. WRENN: I know we have someone brave

22 enough, because she got up at the end of the Las Vegas

23 meeting. The lady --